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Nexant provides services to help our clients make better decisions, in methanol and across the sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nexant Proposition</th>
<th>Differentiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market Analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Corporate strategy development and business</td>
<td>- In-house databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plan review; master planning</td>
<td>- Proven methodologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Portfolio analysis and market segmentation</td>
<td>- Primary and secondary research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>studies, feasibility studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Strategic options and screening, innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy, market entry, company and product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acquisition screening, corporate vision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development, management workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology Assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Technology and operational benchmarking</td>
<td>- Strong team of engineers with deep understanding of chemicals process technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cost of production modelling and benchmarking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Technology evaluation and screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Project finance: Lenders’ independent market,</td>
<td>- High-quality risk and value focused evaluation based on real market and technical insight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technical and environmental consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- M&amp;A (corporates and private equity): Commercial, technical and environmental due diligence support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Buy-side due diligence and vendor due diligence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Corporate strategy development and business</td>
<td>- Deep industry knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plan review; master planning</td>
<td>- Able to identify commercially viable strategic options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Portfolio analysis and market segmentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>studies, feasibility studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Strategic options and screening, innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy, market entry, company and product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acquisition screening, corporate vision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development, management workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent Expert</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expert advisor</td>
<td>- Highly experienced and credible experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Litigation support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Situation – search for a “better” auto fuel

- Low cost
- Stable supply (safe, secure)
- Performance/efficient in an internal combustion engine
- Available (infrastructure)
- Low emissions/air quality
- Renewable is a plus
- Energy security

Could methanol be a solution?
Why methanol? It’s a good, clean fuel!

- 25% higher thermal efficiency over gasoline with lower emissions
  - High octane
  - Fast flame speed
  - High latent heat of vaporization (improved charge cooling)
- Burns more cleanly and efficiently than gasoline
  - Reduces NOx and CO formation
- EPA Fact Sheet – Methanol: Clean Alternative Fuel, with a Success Story (2002)
- Cost – increased control over cost, less cost volatility, less politicization (absolute cost assumed about on par)

We want it!!!!
Complications

- Very entrenched petroleum
- Fiercely protected sector
- Established infrastructure is key (vehicles, filling stations, etc.)
- Supply of methanol today – many new methanol plants would need to be built

Can the positives outweigh the negatives?
Alternative fuels considered
- Electric
- Natural gases
- Ethanol
- Propane
- Hydrogen fuel cell

Methanol was discussed but not considered an alternative

*Why not methanol when it has a strong history of technical success?*
Methanol’s history as an auto fuel

- **1982 – ARCO Test Marketing Program**
- **1985 – DuPont granted a Clean Air Waiver**
- **1987 – Ford and GM Introduce Flex Fuel Technology**
- **1988 – President Reagan signed Alternative Motor Fuel Act**
- **1979-1996 – California Demonstration**
ARCO test marketing program 1982

- OXINOL (Methanol/TBA blend)
- EPA granted a waiver for OXINOL’s use in November 1981
- Blended into gasoline at Philadelphia refinery
- 100 filling stations in Pennsylvania
- Expanded to 1,300 filling stations in the Northeast
- At peak used ~35MM gallons/year of methanol in gasoline blends
- Sonoco participated and offered methanol blends

*However, it did not catch on with other refiners and efforts were abandoned in 1986*
DuPont was granted a Clean Air Waiver in 1985

- Allow methanol blending up to 5 percent
- Minimum 2.5 percent co-solvent alcohol
- Maximum 3.7 percent O2 (by weight)

Abandoned in favor of ethanol and MTBE
California demonstration 1979-1996

- Alternative fuels evaluated
  - Electricity
  - Natural gas
  - Methanol
  - Ethanol
  - Hydrogen
  - Propane

*Methanol was selected as having the greatest potential for replacing petroleum fuels for internal combustion engines on a widespread basis (M85)*
Three-Agency Methanol Task Force (Clean Fuels Working Group) with the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)

‘80/’81 test included 3 fleets operating on methanol and ethanol

Success led to ‘82-'87 demo of an additional 500 vehicles plus 18 filling stations

*Technical success but limited filling stations prevented commercial success*
This commercial limitation led to the development of truly Fuel-Flexible Vehicles (FFVs, M85, or gasoline)

Methanol FFVs in California ’87-’98 Revised 11-20-98

- Ford and GM introduced flex fuel technology in 1987
- Demo 5,000 FFVs developed and major petro companies cooperated by establishing FFV filling stations
- Ultimately, there were about 15,000 methanol FFVs in California in 1998

Major Fuel Retailers M85 Stations January 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Stations in Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arco</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxon</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobil</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texaco</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultramar</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET Corp</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But what happened to stop the momentum?
M85 was demonstrated in California in the 1990s as a fuel for FFVs capable of using either M85 or gasoline

- While much was learned from the methanol fuel demonstration, fuel retailers lost interest in the program
  - Chevron withdrew in 1992
  - ARCO and Shell announced in 1995 that they would not establish any more M85 retail stations
- Methanol could not economically compete with gasoline
  - Energy density is only one-half that of gasoline
  - Thermal efficiency of methanol in an internal combustion engine is similar to that of gasoline
- By 2001, natural gas became the leading alternative fuel in California in terms of commercially available vehicles and the number of filling stations

**California M85 testing was a technical success and a commercial failure**
Methanol had it’s chance

- Methanol was envisioned as an auto fuel of the future in the 1980s, based on development work started in the 1970s
- Was tried from different perspectives by different stakeholders:
  - Ford - BP - Chevron - Mobil - Texaco - DuPont
  - GM - ARCO - Exxon - Shell - Texas Methanol
  - Chrysler
- Demo fleets validated in ‘80s (w/out optimization for MeOH fuel)
  - Ford 11% efficiency improvement
  - Buick 21% gain in 30,000 mile road tests of multiple vehicles
- Methanol lean burn technology developed by Toyota and Volkswagen
- In 1989, President GHWBush promised 0.5 MM MeOH cars on road by ‘96 and 1.0 MM by ’98
Downside realities of methanol as an auto fuel

- M100/low concentration mixtures have cold start and other challenges, so M85 used
- M85 energy density much less than gasoline or diesel, less than ethanol
- It takes more than a gallon of M85 to provide same mileage as a gallon of gasoline, adding weight of fuel and the need for additional physical space in car
- Must establish an infrastructure for fuel distribution and fueling
- Big oil resistance
- MTBE diverted attention from alcohol fuels
- When MTBE fell out of favour, ethanol filled the void – ethanol infrastructure was established and it was institutionalized as the ubiquitous alcohol fuel
- Need to build methanol plants (expensive and time-consuming)
So.... Is that it for methanol as an auto fuel?
Methanol as an auto fuel today – China

- M15 standards
  - Lack of national standard
  - 15 provincial standards – led by use in Shanxi, Shaanxi, and Guizhou (coal regions)
  - Sinopec in Shanxi 1 000 filling stations, 400 000 mtpa of MeOH fuel

- M100 being used in Xi’an, China
  - 60 taxis in operation w/15 filling stations (as of Dec 2018)
  - Reporter test drive concludes very comfortable with improved power, control, and safety
  - Sees huge benefit in reduced carbon emissions, which is very important in complying with increased pollution controls
  - Target 10 000 M100 taxis and 45 filling stations by end of 2019

- High blend M85/M100 pilot expanded to at least 5 provinces

Chinese manufacturer, Geely has developed methanol cars for over 15 years

Geely’s Emgrand M100

- Geely’s Emgrand M100 was the first mass-produced methanol vehicle
- Geely has methanol vehicle capacity of 300,000+ cars/year
- Geely’s methanol taxis have been in operation for over 5 years, traveling nearly one billion kilometers
- Geely has introduced M100 bus, long-haul truck, medium duty truck
- Geely’s M100 cars have also been tested in Iceland
  - Carbon Recycling International performed an 18 month test for six M100 cars, traveling over 150 000 km during the trial

Geely Auto’s M100 Rally Car in the 2019 Dakar Rally
Even in China, methanol as an auto fuel has its challenges

- Very dependent on price of crude
  - $100/bbl ➔️
  - $60/bbl ➔️
- Driven by coal availability, emissions benefits
- <10 percent of China methanol demand is for fuel
- Methanol comprises <5 percent of gasoline pool
- Competition
  - Ethanol
  - Hydrogen
Methanol as an auto fuel today – Israel

- Israeli Governmental Directive – reduce share of crude by 30 percent by 2020 and 60 percent by 2025
- M15 national standard approved, meets code, promoted by Dor
- M70 being tested
- Fiat/Chrysler partnering
- Natural gas newly available and accessible
- Nevertheless, tough road to haul
- Function of price of gasoline
Methanol as an auto fuel today – India

India M15 to displace crude imports

- 2019 BS IV-compliant vehicles to use M15 could fulfil PM Modi’s target of displacing >10 percent of crude imports by 2030 (M15 alone could get this to 20 percent)
- Could be made from high ash coal
- Ethanol, LNG, e-cars are competition
What’s changed? What’s the practical potential now?

- Short term potential is tough
  - E10
  - E15
  - Electric
  - H2 fuel cells
  - DME
  - CNG, LPG, LNG
  - Biodiesel
  - Diesel+SCR

- Longer term potential challenging
  - Renewable potential is a plus
  - DOE supported cellulosic ethanol, why not renewable methanol
  - Need for many methanol plants
    - From a practical perspective are we ready to build that many (YOU are, Nexant is, but is the public?)

What do you think? How can we make methanol as an auto fuel a reality?
Conclusions

- As a conventional vehicle fuel, methanol is technically demonstrated but is not commercially attractive
- Methanol was tested for many years as an auto fuel as a strategy to reduce air pollutant emissions and reduce dependence on petroleum liquids. Despite these efforts, methanol was not a commercial success
- Methanol’s relatively low energy density (roughly half of that of gasoline on a volumetric basis) has been a real impediment to acceptance in terms of vehicle range
- Methanol has not found enthusiastic consumer acceptance as it:
  - Offers insufficient advantages in price, convenience, or performance
  - Has not been subsidized as ethanol has and with lacking comparable political support, it seems unlikely it will receive required subsidies in the future
Conclusions (Cont.)

- To garner such political and consumer support for methanol as an auto fuel, can methanol position itself as a:
- Solution to natural gas flaring? Have TX/ND subsidize?
- New outlet for the U.S. coal sector? Have WY, WV, KY, PA, MT subsidize and have the coal lobby join with MI’s efforts
- Methanol has high technical potential in many ways to dominate the fuel cell auto market, but its introduction and use would represent a major paradigm shift and a challenge for suppliers, distributors, regulators, and consumers
- Marine fuel seems to have more practical short term potential for commercial acceptance of methanol
Can methanol make a comeback as an auto fuel?

“Hope, like faith, is nothing if it is not courageous; it is nothing if it is not ridiculous.”
- Thornton Wilder
“This presentation was prepared by Nexant Ltd ("NEXANT"), for the use of Client ("CLIENT") in its consideration of whether and how to proceed with the subject of this Report.

Except where specifically stated otherwise in the Report, the information contained herein was prepared on the basis of information that is publicly available or was provided by the CLIENT or by a third party, and the information has not been independently verified or otherwise examined to determine its accuracy, completeness or financial feasibility.

Neither NEXANT, CLIENT nor any person acting on behalf of either assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of or for damages resulting from the use of any information contained in this Report. NEXANT does not represent or warrant that any assumed conditions will come to pass. This Report is current as of the date herein and NEXANT has no responsibility to update this Report.

This Report is integral and must be read in its entirety.

The Report is submitted on the understanding that the CLIENT will maintain the contents confidential except for the CLIENT’s internal use. The Report should not be reproduced, distributed or used without first obtaining prior written consent by NEXANT. This Report may not be relied upon by others.”